

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/346,110	06/30/1999	EUGENE T. WANG	81862.P132	2024
7:	590 08/04/2003			• •
LESTER J VINCENT BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP 12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD 7TH FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CA 90025			EXAMINER	
			EMDADI, KAMRAN	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	-,		2667 DATE MAILED: 08/04/2003	10

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

m

	Ar	plication No.	Applicant(s)
		_	
Office Action Summar	n	9/346,110	WANG ET AL.
		aminer	Art Unit
The MAILING DATE of this con		mran Emdadi	2667 It with the correspondence address
Period for Reply	mamcadon appears	on the cover shee	t with the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMM - Extensions of time may be available under the proafter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this If the period for reply specified above is less than to If NO period for reply is specified above, the maxin Failure to reply within the set or extended period for Any reply received by the Office later than three meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704	MUNICATION. visions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). s communication. thirty (30) days, a reply within num statutory period will app or reply will, by statute, caus onths after the mailing date	In no event, however, mann the statutory minimum of bly and will expire SIX (6) et the application to become	ty a reply be timely filed f thirty (30) days will be considered timely. MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
1) Responsive to communication	(s) filed on <u>19 May :</u>	<u> 2003</u> .	
2a) This action is FINAL .	2b)⊠ This ac	tion is non-final.	•
Since this application is in conclosed in accordance with the Disposition of Claims	dition for allowance practice under <i>Ex p</i>	except for formal arte Quayle, 1935	matters, prosecution as to the merits is C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>12-31 and 33-44</u> is/are	e pending in the app	olication.	
4a) Of the above claim(s)	is/are withdrawn fr	om consideration.	
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>12-20,31 and 33-44</u> is/	are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>21-30</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected t	to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to re	estriction and/or elec	ction requirement.	
Application Papers	_	·	
9)☐ The specification is objected to b	y the Examiner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/	are: a)∏ accepted o	or b) ☐ objected to b	y the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that an			
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction	filed on is: a	ı) 🗌 approved b) 🗌	disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings ar	, , ,		
12) The oath or declaration is objected	ed to by the Examin	er.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			•
13) Acknowledgment is made of a c	laim for foreign prio	rity under 35 U.S.0	C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None	of:		
 Certified copies of the price 	ority documents hav	e been received.	
2. Certified copies of the price	ority documents hav	e been received in	Application No
3. Copies of the certified cop application from the In* See the attached detailed Office a	ternational Bureau	(PCT Rule 17.2(a)	en received in this National Stage). ot received.
14) Acknowledgment is made of a clai			
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a cla	n language provisior	nal application has	been received.
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Revie 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-144		4)	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
6. Patent and Trademark Office FO-326 (Rev. 04-01)	Office Action Su	ımmary	Part of Paper No. 10

Application/Control Number: 09/346,110

Art Unit: 2664

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, see paper no. 9, filed 5/19/2003, with respect to the rejection(s)of claim(s) 12-20 have been fully considered and are persuasive.
 Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn and the claims allowed. However, claims 21-30 upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of new found prior art as cited below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- Claims 21-27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Tabu et al. (US Patent No. 6560219).
 - Regarding claim 21, Tabu teaches: a SONET and ATM bus structure where the buses are separate and operable to receive respective SONET and ATM data (Figure 17).
 - Regarding claims 22-25, Tabu teaches: conductive traces both major and minor stemming from the major bus structures of ATM and STM (Figure 17).

Art Unit: 2664

- Regarding claim 26, Tabu teaches: a clock trace (Figure 14).
- Regarding claims 27 an interface card (Figure 27).
- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. Claims 28-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tabu et al. (US Patent No. 6560219) in view of Jones (US Patent No. 6078595).
 - Regarding claims 28-31, Tabu teches: a networking system with an ATM and SONET compatibility for network integration with separate buses for connectivity however, Tabu fails to teach of a switch and processor and adapter. Jones teaches: a backplane system with SONET switch adapter capability and ATM correlation (Figure 1) with an adapter and processor unit card (Figure 4) and a switch card (Figure 7) for SONET and ATM compatibility. Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have had the necessary cards for making use of the necessary processes fundamental to a networking backplane system for broadband switching.

Allowable Subject Matter

Application/Control Number: 09/346,110

Art Unit: 2664

- 6. Claims 33-44 are allowed (see paper no. 8).
- 7. Claims 12-20 and 31 are allowed.
- The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

 Regarding claims 12-20, the prior art teaches: a housing or backplane system for

 multiple different network card compatibility being operable to be housed in the same
 interface environment but the references: Jones et al. (US Patent No. 6078595) and

 Kleyman et al. (US Patent No. 6373837) do not teach the case of having both switch
 and adapter card interfaces both compatible with ATM and SONET cards directly,
 further the systems defined to be an ATM or SONET system having a compatibility
 interchangeable between ATM and SONET is unique in the art and is not taught by
 any prior art references independently or in combination.
- Regarding claim 31, the lithographic process for a mask set is not taught by the
 combination of references, this type of process used in hardware design is employed
 as an integration effort of logical functionality and the process is not outlined by the
 cited prior art and is thus allowable.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kamran Emdadi whose telephone number is (703) 305-4899. The examiner can normally be reached between the hours of 8am and 5pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chi Pham, can be reached at (703) 305-4378. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9314 for regular communications. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status

Art Unit: 2664

of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Kamran Emdadi

07/15/2003

CHI PHAM

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600 7/16/03